

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/965,012  | 09/27/2001      | Giancarlo Carbone    | GB920010014US1          | 2763                    |  |
| 45502   | 7590 01/21/2005 |                      | EXAM                    | INER                    |  |
| DILLON & YUDELL LLP<br>8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.,<br>SUITE 2110 |                 |                      | NGUYEN BA, I            | NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A   |  |
|   |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| AUSTIN, TX  | 78759           | . 2122               |                         |                         |  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005 | DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 09/965,012  | CARBONE ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Hoang-Vu A Nguyen-Ba  | 2122  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communica<br>Period for Reply  | tion appears on the cover sheet with  | h the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statut.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejication.  lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT  1, by statute, cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed   | on 16 September 2004.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| •   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   | ζ.  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 15-31 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 15-31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the B   | Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection  | on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand   | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <del>-</del>  | ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in April the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | oplication No received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-<br/>1)</li> </ol>   |   | ummary (PTO-413)<br>//Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   | formal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/965,012 Art Unit: 2122

#### DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to amendment filed September 16, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 15-31 are pending.

### Response to Amendments

- 3. Per Applicants' request, claims 4-14 have been cancelled, claim 1 has been amended and claims 15-31 have been added.
- 4. The objection to claims 1 and 3 is hereby withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments to these claims to correct clear antecedent basis for the terms "authorization" and "program."
- 5. The rejection of claims 4 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, of claims 8, 9, 11, 12 under both 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph and 35 U.S.C. § 101, and of claims 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 are moot and withdrawn in view of Applicants' cancellation of these claims.

## Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed September 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Following is the Examiner's response to Applicants' arguments.
- a. With respect to claim 1, Applicants have argued that Arbaugh never mentions or suggests "licensing information" at all, but rather is directed to detecting and fixing software corruption. In response to this argument, the Examiner notes that the licensing information is inherently verified by Arbaugh's authentication process (see at least 13:34 to 14:58).
- b. With respect to claim 15, Applicants have argued that neither Arbaugh nor AAPA cite the feature of "the simplified local license certificate authorizing the

Application/Control Number: 09/965,012

Art Unit: 2122

loading of the program regardless of a current operating environment of the client computer." The Examiner notes that the limitation "regardless of a current operating environment of the client computer" appears not to be supported in the specification. Accordingly, any arguments that this feature provides a patentable distinction over Arbaugh or AAPA are not persuasive.

The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being unpatentable over Arbaugh is still proper and maintained.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claims 15-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 15, 24 and 29 recite the limitation "regardless of a current operating environment of the client computer." This limitation seems to be nowhere found in the specification.

Claims 16-23, 25-28 and 30-31 that depend from 15, 24 and 29 respectively are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as containing the deficiency of the base claims.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Vu "Antony" Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (571) 272-3701. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 6:45 to 16:45.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached at (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/965,012

Art Unit: 2122

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoangur Cin Tony haynyen Be

Art Unit 2122

January 15, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER